**THE WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT APPLIED RESEARCH FUND (WDARF) GRANT CALL - 2025**

**BEST PRACTICE GUIDE TO WRITING FULL PROPOSAL**

Contents

[1. Introduction 3](#_Toc199332506)

[2. Basic General Requirements 3](#_Toc199332507)

[2.1 Scope of Grant Call 3](#_Toc199332508)

[2.2 Applied Research 6](#_Toc199332509)

[2.3 Originality of Proposed Research 6](#_Toc199332510)

[2.4 Inter-Disciplinary/Collaborative Research 7](#_Toc199332511)

[2.5 Stakeholders Engagement 7](#_Toc199332512)

[2.6 Impact Measurement 7](#_Toc199332513)

[2.7 Realistic Budgets 7](#_Toc199332514)

[2.8 Timely Submission 8](#_Toc199332515)

[3. Previous Review Comments 9](#_Toc199332516)

[3.1 Review Comments by Expert Review Panel 9](#_Toc199332517)

[3.2 Review Comments by SSG Research Committee 10](#_Toc199332518)

[Annex A: Guidance on methodological and conceptual framing in applied research proposals for WDARF funding 12](#_Toc199332519)

# Introduction

* 1. The Workforce Development Applied Research Fund (WDARF) has awarded S$14.6m to 33 projects across 7 institutions through grant calls during its first five-year tranche from 2017 to 2020 and is currently in the second five-year tranche to fund S$10m by 2025. this Best Practice Guide was developed to assist applicants in developing a proposal that is in line with the grant requirements.
	2. For applications to be eligible for consideration, the proposed research must be applied research that falls within the scope of the grant call. Research proposals that are original, collaborative/interdisciplinary and have engaged stakeholders in its formulation would be given priority.

# Basic General Requirements

## Scope of Grant Call

Proposals submitted to the WDARF 2025 Grant Call MUST address one of the Challenge Statements and align to one of the three Research Themes. For emerging topics related to CET, adult learning and workforce development, proposals may be considered on a case-by-case basis.

Challenge Statements:

**Challenge Statement #1: Overcoming barriers to participation**.

How can we better understand barriers and motivations faced by specific learner groups, i.e. mature workers, non-degree holders, low-wage workers, persons with disabilities in participating in CET? Are there more learner groups facing significant barriers to participation in lifelong learning? How can we better support and encourage/nudge these groups to advance their skills/training development journey through signposting, incentives and government programmes, and/or enhanced education and career guidance or any other proposed means? What are some inventive ways we can adopt to overcome any barriers to participation in lifelong learning?

**Challenge Statement #2: Don’t PET the CET**

What are the differences in learning and training approach between that for working adults and pre-employment training? What principles of learning and training apply well to working adults but not pre-employment students? Conversely, what pedagogical/andragogical principles can apply well to both demographics? What relevant pedagogical/andragogical approaches are we able to create which focus on honing the learning of working adults?

**Challenge Statement #3: Digitalising adult learning.**

How do we define and measure “good” use of the digital medium for adult learning? What modes – synchronous/asynchronous; hybrid/single-mode; gamified etc. – are most effective under what circumstances? How may we best harness the digital means to strengthen adult learning?

**Challenge Statement #4: Every workplace a learning workplace.**

What companies succeed in building a learning workplace for themselves? How can the rest be helped? What modes of workplace learning (e.g. OJT, WSP) work best under what circumstances? How may we best enhance workplace learning opportunities – formal, informal and non-formal – to make workforce learning a norm for all?

Research Themes

* **Theme A: Developing Effective Adult Learning Pedagogies, Skills Development and Enterprise Engagement Strategies**

This research theme focuses on enhancing the effectiveness and impact of adult learning and skills development approaches, via technology, improved andragogy and innovations in both learning and practice. Given that training and learning are also situated within the evolving needs of industry and the economy, research under this thrust should also consider the role of enterprises and their partnerships with training providers in developing and contextualising teaching and learning approaches.

* **Theme B: Facilitating Learning & Career Choices among Adult Learners**

This research theme identifies the key factors influencing CET decisions and those related to career and employment, including the understanding of cognition, emotion and behaviours of all stakeholders concerned (i.e. individuals, employers, educators, career facilitators, policymakers). Cultural and socio-environmental factors, and the varying needs and differences among different adult learner groups (such as mature and vulnerable adult learners) including aspects of accessibility, adaptability and appropriateness should also be considered.

* **Theme C: Enhancing Employment Outcomes through Adult Learning & Skills Development**

This research theme aims to generate insights and provide recommendations for sustaining Singapore’s competitive advantage in the global economy through adult learning and skills development that enables Singaporeans to access good jobs, earn good wages and stay employable. Research should be directed at examining emerging jobs and skills, returns and other benefits of skills development strategies, and exploring interventions (e.g. behavioural insights, financial and non-financial incentives) to guide locals towards better leveraging of learning and better employment outcomes.

For more details on the Research Themes, please refer to the WDARF Research Focus.

## Applied Research

Only proposal focusing on applied research will be considered.

Applied research refers to original investigation undertaken to acquire new knowledge with the primary aim of solving an existing problem or improving a current practice with actionable outcomes. It is therefore critical that the application explain what the problem is and/or why improvements have to be made to current practice, and how the targeted research findings and insights can be scaled and translated into policy and practice in the area of skills development and adult learning in Singapore. Prospective applicants are highly encouraged to refer to **Annex A for Guidance on methodological and conceptual framing in applied research proposals for WDARF funding**.

The applicability and translatability of the project will be reviewed by a technical expert review panel appointed by SSG, which may be informed and supplemented by peer reviews by experts in the specific fields, to make an informed evaluation. Inputs from potential users of the research may also be sought. The proposals and input will be submitted to SSG Research Committee which comprises representatives from SkillsFuture Singapore (SSG), Workforce Singapore (WSG), Ministry of Education (MOE), Ministry of Manpower (MOM) and Ministry of Trade and Industry (MTI) for selection and approval.

## Originality of Proposed Research

WDARF has funded numerous research projects covering a diverse range of topics and sectors since its first grant call.

With that, any new projects to be considered will need to stand up to scrutiny for its originality, addressing gaps which have not been covered in existing WDARF-approved projects (https://www.ial.edu.sg/research/wdarf-grant-call/wdarf-grant-call-results/), in addition to remaining distinct from relevant up-to-date published research literature. Reference to relevant up-to-date literature and the existing WDARF-approved projects should be made in the application to highlight the similarities and differences of your proposed research from the literature and projects.

## Inter-Disciplinary/Collaborative Research

Proposals are encouraged to involve domestic multi-institutional cooperation, interdisciplinary research with high-level international partners. In cases of existing collaboration, supporting evidence (for example, MOUs) should be submitted together with the application. Please note that evaluation of internal programmes within an organization will not be accepted.

## Stakeholders Engagement

Priority consideration will be given to proposals that engaged stakeholders during project conceptualisation/preparation and include stakeholders’ inputs on strategic relevance technical merit, project team, execution plan, project translation and applicability value in application. Stakeholders can include ministries, government agencies, educational/training providers and practitioners (e.g. adult educators).

## Impact Measurement

The impact of an applied research project is measured by its success in reaching its objective of solving/improving an existing problem/practice. With that, it is important to provide the measurable indicators for evaluating success for your proposed project. Examples of indicators can include quality and quantity of scientific outputs, the relationship with the partner(s), relevance and connectedness of the research, accessibility and availability of outputs to users, provision of outputs that are digestible and usable by different audiences, training and capacity building.

## Realistic Budgets

**Budget plan is a key element of a grant application. It is important to read the Administration Guide carefully to know exactly what are fundable and non-fundable.**

**The budget should be sufficiently detailed and accurate to assure reviewers that the investigators have a realistic sense of what it will cost to complete the work proposed. While a slight overestimation in budget may help buffer against price increases, excess overestimation may imply that the applicants are inexperienced, unrealistic and had not performed the necessary due diligence. Underestimation, on the other hand, may imply that the investigators are inexperienced and not confident. In particular, excessive manpower request will lead reviewers to question the applicants’ efficiency.**

**For multi-year projects, consider the time and administrative constraints you may face throughout the project that may hinder budget utilisation. For example, have you considered the time required for research ethics review, collaboration agreements formulation, procurement and hiring processes?**

## Timely Submission

For the proposal to be considered, it needs to be submitted to WDARF Secretariat by the deadline. The submission deadlines for WDARF Grant Call 2025 are as follows:

Submission deadline for EOI: 18 July 2025

Submission deadline for Full proposal (for shortlisted EOIs only): End Sep 2025

Please note that late submissions will not be accepted.

# Previous Review Comments

The below provides a summary of the top five review comments provided by the Expert Review Panel and the SSG Research Committee during their review of past proposals. Both the ERP and SSG Research Committee would note strengths of each proposal as well, but these are the comments most often made on qualities of the proposals to be enhanced.

## Review Comments by Expert Review Panel

1. **Clearer research questions and objectives**

Research questions and objectives must be clear and their suitability to address the research problem need to be apparent.

1. **Relevant research literature**

Review of existing and up-to-date international literature relevant to the research problem and demonstrate understanding of current knowledge in the area of proposed research. To include critical analysis of the literature on their methodologies, findings and limitations etc. to highlight the gap that the proposed research aimed to address. References on the literature cited in the proposal must be provided.

1. **Clearly/strongly theoretically framed. Theory must be linked to research design and tools.**

Enhance clarity of proposal by providing a comprehensive outline of the theoretical and conceptual framework to be used in the study. By fully explaining the main theories, concepts, and definitions, it can effectively guide the research direction and establish a logical relationship between the framework and the problem to be addressed.

Refer to Annex A for Guidance on methodological and conceptual framing in applied research proposals for WDARF funding.

1. **Method to be clearly explained.**

**Method needs to match with or linked to research questions.** The methodological approach must be sufficiently explained and argued to be appropriate to address the research questions/objectives. The approach should be supported by adequate discussion of current literature relevant to the proposed approach. Rigor in determining sample sizes and incentive rate should be demonstrated.

1. **Fitting the aim of WDARF grant call.**
* **Proposal must be relevant to the selected challenge statement/research theme.**
* **Proposal should prioritise the aim of WDARF grant call rather than seeking national funding for internal evaluations or to look for new sources of students for internal courses.**
* **Proposal should prioritise the aim of WDARF grant call rather than development of product /system not connected to a research question.**

## Review Comments by SSG Research Committee

1. Relevance to CET and implication to policy.

The proposed topic should have strong relevance or significance to CET at the present time. The proposed needs to have the potential to inform or enhance practice in the area of skills development and adult learning in Singapore.

1. Transferability and Applicability

Findings derived from proposed research need to be applicable beyond the specific context of the study. For example, a research study that demonstrates transferability to other sectors will be more strongly considered for support.

1. Scalability

Although the proposed research may yield positive results, its impact can be significantly enhanced by expanding or replicating it to benefit larger populations or address similar challenges in different settings.

1. Clear objective

Proposal can be further strengthened by providing greater clarity in the research questions and the proposed solutions.

1. Study should be novel.

Proposed study can be enhanced by incorporating contemporary topics and methodologies that are at the forefront of current research, ensuring its relevance and impact in the field. Proposed research needs to address current needs or emerging trends.

# Annex A: Guidance on methodological and conceptual framing in applied research proposals for WDARF funding

What sort of problems does applied social research aim to address? This can be stated in many different ways, such as: What are the human consequences of climate change? How can social inclusion be increased through the use of new technologies? What is important to note for the WDARF research call is that the funding is looking to support proposals that can be ‘applied’ or have some form of generalisation beyond the context of your own research project.

This document is intended as an outline or orientation for thinking through methodological issues and conceptual framing in applied research. It is not intended as a strict prescriptive framework for WDARF funding applications.
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**10 key points** for developing the conceptual and methodological framing of an applied social research project relevant to SkillsFuture Singapore (SSG)

1. **Align with WDARF Themes and Priorities**: Ensure the research directly supports SkillsFuture Singapore’s goals in workforce development, skills development, and adult learning, with clear policy and practice implications.
2. **Identify a Clear and Relevant Problem**: Define a focused research issue that addresses local needs and demonstrates its significance within Singapore’s context.
3. **Review and Position in Existing Literature**: Conduct a thorough literature review to establish what is known, highlight gaps, and position your study within ongoing debates and research.
4. **Develop a Coherent Conceptual Framework**: Use conceptual tools that systematically guide your research, linking context, problem, theory, and methods cohesively.
5. **Select and Justify Methodologies**: Choose methodological approaches that align with your conceptual framing and research questions and provide clear justification for your choices.
6. **Ensure Scalability and Practical Outcomes**: Focus on applied research that can be scaled and translated into practice and policy impact within Singapore’s skills ecosystem.
7. **Incorporate Diverse Expertise**: Engage a mix of social researchers, technical experts, and industry partners to strengthen the proposal’s relevance and robustness.
8. **Be Critical and Analytical**: Avoid superficial use of popular topics (e.g. AI) unless they are essential, and provide deep analysis with justified conceptual choices.
9. **Plan for Broad Dissemination**: Develop strategies to share findings across diverse channels and stakeholders to enhance uptake and impact, contributing to policy, practice and research.
10. **Maintain Feasibility and Clarity**: Ensure your proposal is realistic, well-structured, and avoids over-promising or unnecessary complexity.

What is applied research?

For a long time, it was thought that doing basic research always preceded applied research. Once people had made new discoveries or findings, this was followed up by development, and then production/operations – a very linear model that STEM R&D seemed to exemplify. This model also appeared to treat basic and applied research as in opposition, which is definitely inaccurate. Both purposes of research are clearly warranted whether in the natural or social sciences.

Basic and applied research can indeed co-exist very well in what has been called Pastuer’s Quadrant. Researchers working in this frame seek basic understanding of phenomena as well as applying knowledge to solve problems in the world. Such dual purposes are also described as use-inspired basic research. Such research characterized the many contributions made both to scientific knowledge and improvements in human society by Louis Pasteur.

Other researchers may wish to strongly identify with applied research where solving current/emerging issues in policy or practice is the core focus. Generating new knowledge here attempts to achieve a better understanding of what is taking place in the context of action, connected to a project's aims and objectives. This is a highly practical endeavour, and it is much more than using or creating tools, kits, or apps, and collecting data to prove that a new technology or intervention “works.”

Regardless of whichever stances researchers adopt, their proposals need to demonstrate rigour, coherence, and potential impact or scalability among other important considerations shared in the WDARF documents. Grant applicants would do well to pay attention to the following criteria as they consider how their proposals may increase knowledge and/or change practice in CET, workforce development, skills development, and adult learning:

* Relevance to WDARF research themes and challenges
* Potential contributions to existing/emerging policy and/or practice
* Deep understanding of local needs and significance of problem in the context of Singapore.

Sometimes, new knowledge useful for changing practice or policymaking may not be immediately obvious to the research team. This highlights why communicating and sharing one’s findings across a variety of different channels and stakeholders is often mutually beneficial. Better yet, getting a diversity of expertise on board the team may strengthen the initial conceptualisation of the proposals and play different but valuable supportive roles throughout the project.

What do conceptual tools do in an applied research project?

Conceptual frameworks and tools act like maps that give coherence to a research project. They provide a systematic way to organize the exploration of a problem. Conceptual tools “think forward”: they guide data collection, analysis and interpretation of data, and the process of making judgements, drawing conclusions and putting forward recommendations.

How do you decide on and develop a suitable conceptual framework for an applied research project?

Once you’ve identified a specific issue, problem, or gap in our knowledge, you need to reflect on the context in which this applied research question is being raised. It’s typically based on issues you’ve been thinking about for some time. Developing a conceptual frame helps with being able to see and challenge your own and others’ taken-for-granted assumptions about the issue you are focusing on. Reviewing previous research establishes what is already known and what we do not know, and what ideas, models and/or conceptual tools have been used to consider the problem by other researchers. This background reading and the way you’ve been thinking about your focus will shape how you understand the ‘problem’ to be studied and guide the selection of research methods that will drive your investigations. In other words, your understanding defines how you conceptually frame your research proposal. This conceptual frame acts as the lens which links your research context, problem, theory, and methods into a coherent whole.

As an example, if you are investigating AI, job redesign and digital skills in mid-level occupations in financial services, some of the key concepts that are used to describe, categorise or understand these issues may include technological adoption, workforce automation/transformation, digital skills, job redesign, social inclusion/inequality, and so on.

These concepts are sometimes described as the building blocks of theories which enable you to offer systematic explanations for what you’re aiming to study. Here it’s important to recognise that your conceptual toolkit may not be the only way to study your research problem. You need to be mindful of other ways of conceptually framing what you want to study, and justifying the choices you make. Demonstrating a recognition of other concepts/theories is a strength not weakness.

So conceptual tools are not decoration to lay on top of your proposed project. They are there to be used. And, only use conceptual tools that truly contribute to your analysis and understanding of what it is you want to study. And whatever conceptual frame you use, make sure you define how you intend to use it. In the earlier example, don’t assume that there is a shared understanding of generative AI, nor assume existing policies can be treated as given. What needs to be analysed includes the unintended consequences of policy actions, such as when policies aimed at raising participation rates in vocational training may add to parental pressures for increased access to university.

Experienced researchers understand that building up familiarity with the relevant theories, methods, and indeed the social problem itself would take time. WDARF applicants who have been successful have demonstrated that they have grasped these essentials of research, describing them in a thoughtful and careful manner even if they don’t have all the answers at hand.

To summarise:

A coherent conceptual framework in applied social research refers to a structured set of concepts and theoretical tools that systematically guide your investigation of a specific problem or issue. It works as a kind of map that links your research context, the problem you're addressing, relevant theories, and the methods you plan to use, ensuring all parts of the project fit together meaningfully.

A good conceptual framework does more than just decorate your research; it actively shapes how you define your problem, decide on methods, collect and analyze data, and draw conclusions. It helps make explicit the assumptions and ideas underlying your study, clarifies key concepts, and ensures that your research questions, methods, and analysis are logically aligned. For instance, if you're studying AI-driven job redesign, your framework might include concepts like technological adoption, digital skills, and workforce transformation, and these would guide your data collection and interpretation.

In short, coherence in a conceptual framework means that your research isn't just a patchwork of ideas and methods but a well-integrated project where each element supports and enhances the others.

At the end of this document, Appendix 1 provides a small number of examples of how researchers have used methodological and conceptual framing in workplace learning projects, and Appendix 2 lists resources and journals that are key sources for applied research.

Key steps in developing and using conceptual tools and methodological framing in applied research

1. Identify the problematic to be addressed.
2. Carry out a literature search, then read and review relevant literature. What do we already know? What are the gaps? What ideas and models and approaches have been used to research the problem in previous work? How is what we know relevant to the problem as it exists in Singapore?
3. Refine the problem, which may well include narrowing the focus to more specific aspects of the problem.
4. Decide on appropriate conceptual tools.
5. Identify a methodological approach that is suitable to address the problem.
6. Explain and justify the methodology and conceptual tools that are chosen.
7. Plan out and describe clearly the methods to be used. Make sure that they are congruent with the conceptual and methodological framing.
8. Use ideas, models and/or conceptual tools for the process of analysis and interpretation.
9. Offer conclusions that clearly come out of a systematic analysis and interpretation of the evidence, with implications for practice and for understanding and thinking about the problem studied for the future.

WDARF bids: Some Do’s and Don’ts

Don’t fail the ‘so what’ test…a contribution of substance.

Don’t over-promise…What is ‘doable’? …be realistic.

Don’t over-complicate. Conceptual weaknesses - only use those essential to the proposed study.

Don’t be limited to whatever is flavour of the month, e.g. AI.

Don’t assume we know what AI is! Be analytical.

Do follow WDARF guidelines: ‘applied’ does not simply mean make an ‘intervention’… projects need to be scalable and translatable.

Do take command…give us confidence you know what you’re doing…

Do focus on Singapore but also think more widely. Policy, concepts/ theoretical considerations are international…

Do make sure you seek funding for those who can truly add value…but no more…

Do discuss/engage with ‘social’ researchers, as well as specialists in your scientific field.

Do think about how proposals engage with industry/employers.

Finally: Do apply.

APPENDIX 1

Published examples of methodological and conceptual framing in workplace learning projects

Special Issue of *Studies in Continuing Education* (2021, 43:2)

Configuring enterprises as spaces for learning: possibilities, risks and limits

8 articles from the 2019 *Researching Work & Learning (RWL) International Conference.*

<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/0158037X.2021.1927307>

Henshaw, B.; Mishra, B.K.; Sayers,W.; Pervez, Z. (2025)

Unveiling the Impact of Socioeconomic and Demographic Factors on Graduate Salaries: A Machine Learning Explanatory Analytical Approach Using Higher Education Statistical Agency Data. Analytics 2025, 4, <https://doi.org/10.3390/analytics4010010> OPEN ACCESS

Anne Karhapää, Raija Hämäläinen & Johanna Pöysä-Tarhonen (2025)

Digital work practices that promote informal workplace learning: digital ethnography in a knowledge work context, Studies in Continuing Education, 47:1, 1-18. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0158037X.2023.2274596> OPEN ACCESS

Amanda L. Lizier, Oriana Milani Price & Susanne Francisco (2024)

Coming to practice differently in the workplace: a practice architectures exploration of workplace learning in times of change, Studies in Continuing Education, 46:3. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0158037X.2023.2186387>

Appendix 2

Useful sources and resources [non-exhaustive]

Research methods for applied research and workplace learning

Journals that publish in the fields of lifelong learning and vocational education and training

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY BOOKS

The SAGE Handbook of Applied Social Research Methods (2nd edition 2013)

<https://methods.sagepub.com/hnbk/edvol/the-sage-handbook-of-applied-social-research-methods-2e/toc>

Research Approaches on Workplace Learning. Insights from a Growing Field (2022)
<https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-3-030-89582-2>

Bradbury, H. (2022). How to do action research for transformations: At a time of eco-social crisis. Edward Elgar Publishing.

Coghlan, D. (2019). Doing action research in your own organization (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Watkins, K., Gilbertson, E., & Nicolaides, A. (2023). The Action Research Dissertation: Learning from Leading Change. Myers Education Press.

ARTICLES

Dickens, L., & Watkins, K. (1999). Action Research: Rethinking Lewin. Management Learning, 30(2), 127-140. https://doi.org/10.1177/1350507699302002 (Original work published 1999)

Gilbertson, E., & Nicolaides, A.(2023). Centering community building to facilitate transformative change for new teachers: An action research study. *Action Research, 21*(1), 81-103.

Lee, Y.-J., & Roth, W.-M. (2007). The individual|collective dialectic in the learning organization. *The Learning Organization*, *14*(2)*,* 92–107. DOI 10.1108/09696470710726970

Lee, Y.-J., & Roth, W.-M. (2005). The (unlikely) trajectory of learning in a salmon hatchery. *Journal of Workplace Learning, 17*(4), 243–254. DOI 10.1108/13665620510597194

Nicolaides, A., & Dzubinski, L. (2015). Collaborative developmental action inquiry: An opportunity for transformation to occur? *Journal of Transformative Education, 14*(2), 120-138.

Tosey, P., & Marshall, J. (2017). The demise of inquiry-based HRD programmes in the UK: implications for the field. *Human Resource Development International*, *20*(5), 393–402. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13678868.2017.1329368>

Watkins, K.,Nicolaides, A.,& Marsick, V. J. (2016).Emerging action research traditions: Rigor in practice. *International Journal of Adult Vocational Education and Technology*, *7*(3), 71-81.

EXAMPLE JOURNALS (in alphabetical order)

Administrative Science Quarterly

<https://journals.sagepub.com/home/asq>

Asia Pacific Education Review

<https://link.springer.com/journal/12564>

Asia Pacific Journal of Education

<https://www.tandfonline.com/journals/cape20>

Career Development International

<https://www.emerald.com/insight/publication/issn/1362-0436>

Education + Training

<https://www.emeraldgrouppublishing.com/journal/et>

European Journal of Training and Development

<https://www.emerald.com/insight/publication/issn/2046-9012>

Human Relations

<https://journals.sagepub.com/home/hum>

International Journal for Research in Vocational Education and Training

<https://journals.sub.uni-hamburg.de/hup2/ijrvet/>

International Journal of Social Research Methodology

<https://www.tandfonline.com/toc/tsrm20/current>

International Journal of Training and Development

<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/journal/14682419>

Journal of Education and Work

<https://www.tandfonline.com/journals/cjew20>

Journal of Vocational Education and Training

<https://www.tandfonline.com/journals/rjve20>

Journal of Workplace Learning

<https://www.emerald.com/insight/publication/issn/1366-5626>

Nordic Journal of Vocational Education and Training

<https://njvet.ep.liu.se>

Organization

<https://journals.sagepub.com/home/ORG>

Organization Studies

<https://journals.sagepub.com/home/oss>

Personnel Review

<https://www.emerald.com/insight/publication/issn/0048-3486>

Studies in Continuing Education

<https://www.tandfonline.com/journals/csce20>

The Learning Organisation

<https://www.emerald.com/insight/publication/issn/0969-6474>

Vocations and Learning

<https://link.springer.com/journal/12186>